

DECISION EVIDENCE · PUBLIC FIRST-PASS CHECK

Approval Record Assessment Checklist

Test whether one approval record preserves evidence of reviewer view, challenge, alternatives, usable authority, rationale and attributable commitment.

By Cosmin C. Oprea 

Read

Listen

OPERATE

Intake

Review

Use on one bounded decision route. Answer from the record that survived at the relevant time. Mark uncertainty openly; do not infer a score or organisation-wide conclusion.

DECISION ROUTE / OPERATIONAL CONSEQUENCE

RECORD IDENTIFIER / DATE

REVIEWER ROLE

COMMITMENT POINT

01 Reviewer view and record state

What was actually available before commitment?

1 Can the record reproduce the version of the recommendation, output or case view presented to the reviewer? ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ UNCLEAR ☐ N/A

2 Can it show which material evidence the reviewer could actually see and use at that time? ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ UNCLEAR ☐ N/A

3 Does it preserve missing, disputed, low-confidence or contradictory information that could affect judgement? ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ UNCLEAR ☐ N/A

02 Challenge and live alternatives

Was review more than confirmation?

4 Can the record show that realistic alternatives remained open when the reviewer acted? ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ UNCLEAR ☐ N/A

5 Could the reviewer challenge, modify, reject, pause or request more evidence before operational effect? ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ UNCLEAR ☐ N/A

6 Was deviation from the system-shaped route operationally viable and visible in the record? ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ UNCLEAR ☐ N/A

CONTINUE THE SAME BOUNDED RECORD

03 Authority and escalation

Could responsibility move to usable authority in time?

7 Was the reviewer's authority proportionate to the consequence and distinguishable from task completion? ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ UNCLEAR ☐ N/A

8 If the route exceeded that authority, was escalation reachable and completable before commitment? ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ UNCLEAR ☐ N/A

9 Where warning signals or authority gaps existed, does the record explain escalation or reasoned non-escalation? ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ UNCLEAR ☐ N/A

04 Rationale, commitment and reconstruction

Can the record defend the decision state, not only the final event?

10 Was material reasoning recorded while judgement and intervention remained live, rather than added after outcome? ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ UNCLEAR ☐ N/A

11 Can the record identify who made the final attributable organisational commitment and when it occurred? ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ UNCLEAR ☐ N/A

12 Can the route be reconstructed from system influence through review, challenge, authority and commitment without relying primarily on memory? ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ UNCLEAR ☐ N/A

EVIDENCE / UNRESOLVED GAP

INTERPRETIVE BOUNDARY

An approval event is useful evidence, but it is not by itself proof of meaningful human judgement. Several No or Unclear answers indicate a bounded evidence question requiring further inspection. They do not establish non-compliance, system defect or an IDΔAC™ diagnostic conclusion.

NEXT STEP

If one consequential pathway remains materially unclear, preserve the specific uncertainty and supporting record. Prepare that bounded route through the Pre-Diagnostic Intake before requesting a Scope Review.

 Source article

 Audio Companion

 www.iddac.eu

 contact@iddac.eu