Exposure Diagnostic

IDΔAC™ Scope Review Brief

How organisations determine whether a matter fits the 14-day Exposure Diagnostic.

Document control

Document code	IDDAC-SCOPE-REVIEW-BRIEF-20260712-v1.2
Version	v1.2
Revised	13 July 2026
Classification	PUBLIC / CLIENT-FACING / PUBLIC-SAFE
Official contact	www.iddac.eu | contact@iddac.eu

Public-use note

This document explains the commercial-operational entry point for the IDΔAC™ Exposure Diagnostic. It is intended for prospective clients considering whether to request a Bounded Scope Review.

1. Purpose of a Scope Review

The Bounded Scope Review is the entry point for the IDΔAC™ Exposure Diagnostic. It is a bounded commercial-operational screening step used to determine whether a potential matter is suitable for a 14-day diagnostic.

The objective is to avoid over-scoping, unnecessary access requests and premature document transfer, while confirming whether a selected AI-assisted decision route can be examined through a minimum attributable evidence pack.

> **ACCESS PRINCIPLE**
> We do not need full access to your systems. We need enough attributable evidence to determine whether the selected decision route can be examined within a controlled, defensible scope.

2. When a Scope Review makes sense

- A high-impact operational decision was influenced by AI, automation, scoring, ranking, classification, vendor signals or workflow prompts.
- A human reviewer approved or confirmed a decision, but it is unclear whether they had real evidence, alternatives or intervention capacity.
- Legal, Risk, Compliance, Audit, Product, Operations or management disagree about who owns the exposure.
- A decision was escalated late, not escalated or escalated without a reconstructible authority transition.
- The organisation wants to know whether a selected route is defensible before deeper audit, remediation, dispute response or governance redesign.
- Evidence exists but is fragmented across workflow tools, screenshots, logs, emails, policies, approval records and vendor notes.

3. What IDΔAC™ needs for the Scope Review

The Scope Review does not require a large document dump. It needs enough context to identify the route, consequence, likely evidence surfaces and accountable internal sponsor.

Input	Purpose
Brief route description	Identify what decision was made, who or what was affected and where AI-enabled influence may have entered.
Operational consequence	Understand whether the route involved restriction, approval, denial, pricing, prioritisation, retention, suspension, escalation or another effect.
Internal sponsor	Confirm who can provide evidence, protect confidentiality and receive the diagnostic output.
Indicative evidence surfaces	Identify likely screenshots, workflow records, review notes, approval records, escalation rules or vendor notes.
Known concern	Clarify whether the concern is evidence, authority, escalation, timing, review quality, vendor opacity, retention or reconstructibility.

4. Scope Review flow

Step	What happens	Output
1. Request	The organisation contacts IDΔAC™ through the website or official email.	Initial triage.
2. Short intake	IDΔAC™ requests a brief route description, the reason for concern and the accountable internal sponsor.	Candidate route definition.
3. Scope clarification	Boundary questions are resolved asynchronously by default. A short call may be arranged only where materially useful and mutually agreed.	Go, narrow, phase or decline.
4. Evidence readiness	If suitable, IDΔAC™ issues a minimum attributable evidence request and confirms handling conditions.	Bounded evidence pack.
5. Proposal / SOW	Commercial terms, scope, limits, responsibilities and timeline are confirmed.	Engagement-ready package.
6. Launch	Once scope and evidence readiness are confirmed, the 14-calendar-day diagnostic begins.	Confirmed launch date.

5. What the organisation receives after Scope Review

Scope fit decision
A clear view on whether the matter fits the diagnostic or should be narrowed, declined, phased or routed elsewhere.

Bounded evidence request
A minimum attributable evidence pack request, not a broad audit demand.

Commercial quote
A fee within the €5,000-€7,500 excluding VAT range, based on complexity and evidentiary condition.

Engagement boundaries
Confirmation of what IDΔAC™ will examine, will not examine and cannot conclude.

Launch conditions
A 14-calendar-day asynchronous timetable beginning once scope and evidence readiness are confirmed.

Responsibility limits
A clear reminder that the diagnostic is not legal advice, certification or technical validation.

6. What not to expect

A Scope Review is not a free audit, legal review, system test or consulting workshop. It is a controlled fit assessment.

- No source code, full dataset or full system access is requested by default.
- No certification, compliance conclusion or legal opinion is issued.
- No remediation plan, implementation design or governance redesign is delivered during Scope Review.
- No broad policy review is performed unless necessary to bound the decision route.
- No internal proprietary diagnostic method is disclosed.

7. Go / No-Go criteria

Go indicators	No-Go or narrowing indicators
A selected decision route or bounded route family can be described.	The request is a broad AI governance review with no bounded decision route.
An accountable sponsor can provide limited evidence and receive findings.	The organisation expects legal advice, certification or conformity assessment.
There is plausible exposure around evidence, authority, escalation or reconstructibility.	The primary question requires source-code validation, dataset audit or model-performance testing.
The work can be completed asynchronously in 14 days.	The organisation wants workshops, redesign, implementation or ongoing monitoring inside the same engagement.
The evidence pack can be anonymised or reduced where needed.	Confidentiality, authority to share information or conflict conditions cannot be resolved.

8. Fee and timing

The indicative diagnostic fee is €5,000-€7,500 excluding VAT. A well-bounded standard case may sit around the middle of the range. Higher complexity, fragmented evidence, multiple authority layers or unusual exposure may move the fee upward within the range. Matters exceeding the bounded diagnostic are narrowed, phased or scoped separately.

The diagnostic duration is 14 calendar days from confirmed scope and evidence readiness. The launch date is fixed only after the agreed evidence pack and any necessary boundary clarification are complete.

> **SCOPE REVIEW BOUNDARY**
> The Scope Review determines fit and conditions. It does not prejudge findings, guarantee diagnostic acceptance or authorise the transfer of sensitive case material before handling conditions are agreed.

Request a Bounded Scope Review
www.iddac.eu | contact@iddac.eu
Do not send sensitive case material before scope and handling conditions are agreed.